



PHARMACOGNOSTICAL ANALYSIS OF *ATLANTIA RACEMOSA*, AS ETHNOBOTANICAL DRUG IN CHITTOOR DT. OF ANDHRA PRADESH

V. Naga Padmavathi¹, K.Madhava chetty²

¹Department of Botany, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool – 518 007, Andhra Pradesh

^{1&2}Department of Botany, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati 517502, Andhra Pradesh

Author for Correspondence: nagapadma_m@yahoo.in

Abstract

The present study was carried out to provide a scientific basis of the identification and the authenticity of *Atlantia racemosa* an important medicinal plant which is prescribed and used as a crude drug prepared with whole plant extract (stem:leaf:root in equal ration) for various ailments by ethnobotanists in and around chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. Pharmacognostical studies pertaining to Physicochemical and florescence analysis were performed on whole plant drug powder of *A.racemosa*. The observations confirmed that *A.racemosa* drug has apparent pharmacognostical distinctiveness, which provide a reliable basis for identification, purity, quality and classification of the plant drug which differentiate adulterants.

Introduction

Pharmacognostic evaluation is a simple and reliable tool which helps to screen the commercial varieties, substitutes, adulterants and any other quality control to obtain information about biochemical and physical properties of crude drug. Intentional adulteration or substitution is also a menace in the crude drug markets (Tulasi Rao *et al.*, 2012).

Botanical extract standardization provides a certain level of quality control, but not complete quality assurance (Ninfali *et al.*, 2009). The collection of raw phytodrugs by untrained personnel may lead to unintentional adulteration of drugs (Krishnan and Gopi, 2015). To detect the adulterants from the original ones, the crude drugs are subjected for microscopic analysis with anatomical parameters. Many of the plant species are also sold in herbal drug market by the same vernacular name. Previous workers have investigated on Botanical standardization viz., Arjuna by Sivaji *et al* (2012), Kakanasa by Ramesh *et al.* (2013), Jatamansi by

Traesel *et al.* (2017). Botanical identity of the phytodrug is important prerequisite for understanding the analysis of medicinal properties of any plant. If the plant identity of the drug is incorrect, the entire work on the plant becomes invalid (Tulasi Rao *et al.*, 2012).

Present study is aimed to authenticate identity and validity of all these speculated species on the basis of taxonomical characters. Botanical investigations, viz. macro & microscopical comparison with the drugs used under the name of have not yet been carried out. Therefore, the present study is an attempt to establish macro and microscopic characteristic of *Atlantia racemosa* to differentiate other adulterant species.

Materials and Methods

The whole plant coarse powder (Leaf, root and stem in 1:1:1 ratio) of *Atlantia racemosa* was used for physicochemical studies as per ethnic healers formulations.

Powder microscopic studies were carried out by examining the powder of the plant



samples as well as by macerating the plant samples using Jeffery's maceration fluid (Johansen, 1940; Sass, 1940).

Physicochemical parameters like ash values, extractive values and solubility values were determined according to the standard procedures recommended as per WHO guidelines (Anonymous, 2009) and Kokate, (2008). Fluorescence analysis of the

whole plant powder drugs was carried out according to the methods followed by Chase and Pratt (1949) and Kokoski *et al.*, (1958).

Preparation of extract for phytochemical and pharmacognostic analysis was done according to modified method of Manipal *et al.*, (2017)



Figure :1 A: *Atlantia racemosa* twig ;B: Dried Plant material C : Chopped P: Powder

Results and Discussion

Preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis of *Atlantia racemosa* whole plant drug powder revealed the presence of Alkaloids, Terpenoids, Steroids, Coumarins, Tannins, Saponins, Flavonoids, Quinones, Anthroquinones, Phenolic compounds, Proteins, Carbohydrates, Glycosides, Gums, Starch, Fixed oils and Fats, Phytosterols, Lipids, Lignins, Lignans, Anthocyanidins, Indoles, Reducing sugars and Amino acids.

Elements present are Iron, Magnesium, Calcium and Zinc. Alkaloids, Terpenoids, Steroids, Coumarins, Tannins, Saponins, Flavonoids, Quinones, Anthroquinones, Phenolic compounds, Proteins are present. Qualitative analysis of anthocyanidins revealed the presence of Delphinidin, Petunidin and Malvidin. Qualitative analysis of flavonoid compounds

detected Rutin, Myricetin, Kaempferol and Apigenin. Qualitative analysis of phenolic compounds detected are Protocatechuic acid, Chlorogenic acid, homo-Protocatechuic acid, Gentisic acid, cis-p-Coumaric acid, trans-p-Coumaric acid, p-Hydroxybenzoic acid, Phloretic acid, Aesculetin, cis-Sinapic acid, trans-Sinapic acid, Vanillic acid, Syringic acid, Salicylic acid, Cinnamic acid and some of Unidentified compounds (3). Amino acid Qualitative analysis of detected Aspartic acid, Arginine, Asparagine, α -Alanine, β -Alanine, Cysteine, Cystine, Glutamic acid, Glutamine, Glycine, Histidine, Leucine, Lysine, γ -Methylene glutamine, Ornithine, Proline, Serine, Threonine, Tyrosine, Valine. Quantitative analysis of lipids detected are Phosphatidyl serine, Phosphatidyl inositol, Phosphatidyl ethanolamine, Digalactosyl diglyceride, Phosphatidyl glycerol,



Sulphoquinovosyl diglyceride, Diphosphatidyl glycerol, Monogalactosyl diglyceride and Steryl glycoside

Powder characteristics of the drug is found to be in fine powder Appearance with pale green, aromatic odour and in bitter taste.

Ash values of the drug is as follows : Total ash - 4.89, Water soluble ash - 5.73 , Acid soluble ash - 5.56 (% w/w), Alkalinity of water soluble ash is 0.2 (ml).

Extractive values of the drug: Ethanol soluble extract 30.98, Water soluble extract 37.81, Hexane soluble extract, 3.69, Chloroform soluble extract 3.3468 (% w/w).

Solubility values of the drug : Ethanol 57.83 , Water (aqueous), 21.84, Methanol, 63.34 (% w/w).

Table :1 Powder analysis of the drug

Powder Treatment	Observation
Powder treated with water	non-sticking
Powder shaken with water	foam like froth
Powder treated with 5% aqueous NaOH	pale brown
Powder treated with 60% aqueous sulphuric acid	pale brown
Powder pressed between filter paper for 24 hours	no oil stain

Table 2: Fluorescence analysis of various extracts of the drug

Extract	Treatment	Colour
Ethanol	Day light	brown
	Short UV	green
	Long UV	brown
Water	Day light	brown
	Short UV	green
	Long UV	brown
Hexane	Day light	green
	Short UV	brown

	Long UV	green
Chloroform	Day light	brown
	Short UV	green
	Long UV	reddish green

Table-3: Fluorescence analysis of the drug powder

Experiments	Visible / Day light	UV light	
		254 nm	365 nm
Drug powder	pale green	green	pale brown
Drug powder + 1 N NaOH (aq.)	brown	green	dark brown
Drug powder + 1 N NaOH (alc.)	brown	green	dark brown
Drug powder + 1 N HCl	brown	pale green	colourless
Drug powder + 50% H ₂ SO ₄	brown	pale green	pale brown
Drug powder + 50% HNO ₃	brown	pale green	colourless
Drug powder + Picric acid	brown	green	yellow
Drug powder + Acetic acid	brown	green	brown
Drug powder + Ferric chloride	brown	pale green	brown
Drug powder + HNO ₃ + NH ₃	brown	green	pale brown

The microscopic observations on the gross anatomical features coupled with tissue disposition and cell inclusions are more trustworthy techniques in the crude sample drugs (Krishnan and Gopi, 2015).

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Botany, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra pradesh (India) for providing necessary facilities. Authors also express gratitude towards the University Authorities for Ph.D registration of the First author and DCM Committee for constructive suggestions that improved the research work.



Conflict Of Interest

We declare that no conflict of interest.

References

- Krishnan V and Gopi M (2015). Micro-morphological Techniques in Botanical Standardization of Closely Related Root Drugs Indian Journal of Medicine and Healthcare. 4(4).
- Traesel GK, Machado CD, Tirloni CAS, Menetrier JV, Reis Lívero F, Lourenço ELB, Oesterreich SA, Budel JM, and Junior AG (2017). Safety Assessment and Botanical Standardization of an Edible Species from South America. Journal of Medicinal Food. 20(5):519-525
- Ninfali P, Gennari L, Biagiotti E, Cangi F, Mattoli L, Maidecchi A.(2009). Improvement in botanical standardization of commercial freeze-dried herbal extracts by using the combination of antioxidant capacity and constituent marker concentrations. J AOAC Int.92(3):797-805. Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists International.
- Sivaji K., Mahendra nath M., Ramesh L. and Madhavachetty K. (2012). Comparative pharmacognostical studies of *Terminalia arjuna* used in Ayurvedic drug "Arjuna" With Its adulterant *Kavalama Urens*
- Ramesh L., Mahendranath M. and Madhavachetty K. (2013). Comparative pharmacognostical studies of original Taxa with substituent used in ayurvedic drug kakanasa
- Kokate CK (2008). Practical Pharmacognosy (Vallabh Prakashan Delhi) 149-156.
- Kokoski CJ, Kokoski RJ and Sharma M(1958). Fluorescence of powdered vegetable drugs and ultraviolet radiations. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association 10715-717.
- Sass JC (1958). Botanical Microtechnique, Oxford TBN Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Chase CR and Pratt RJ(1949). Fluorescence of powdered vegetable drugs with particular resource to development of a system of identification. Journal of American Pharmacognosy Association 38 324-333.
- Tulasi Rao K., Mahendra Nath M., Madhava Chetty K. (2012). Pharmacognostical and Anatomical Studies on *Listea glutinosa* (Lour.) C.B. Roxb. An important medicinal plant in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences. Vol.2 (2):223-229.
- Manipal K, Lagisetty R, Chetty KM. (2017). Quantitation of flavonoids in barks of selected taxa of combretaceae. Pharm Pharmacol Int J. 5(1):26-29. DOI: 10.15406/ppij.2017.05.00111
- Johansen DA (1940). Plant Microtechniques. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, London p. 105
- Schonenberger J, Balthazar M (2012). Modern plant morphological studies, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 169(4) : 565-568.
- Endress PK (2005). The role of morphology in angiosperm evolutionary studies. Nova Acta Leopoldina 92: 221-238.

Corresponding Author:

V. Naga Padmavathi,

Research Scholar - PP BOT 0040,

Department of Botany, Rayalaseema University,
Kurnool -518007, India.

E-mail: nagapadma_m@yahoo.in